OCT 0 & 800A

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 324 day of Scatterfiel., 2004.

Jone Ford

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Davies et al.) Examiner: Hilary L. Gutman
10/677,998)
Serial No.: 10/625,350) Group: 1746_ 3612
Filed: Oct. 2, 2003)
For: CONTAINER FOR SECURE	j
TRANSPORT OF CARGO) .
)
Attorney Docket No.: 29554/04002)

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated September 1, 2004, Applicants elect to pursue in this application claims 1-20, identified as Group I, with traverse.

The Office Action asserts that the inventions of groups I and II are related as product and process of use. However, "[t]here are two criteria for a proper requirement for a restriction between patentably distinct inventions (A) The inventions must be independent; and (B) There must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is required." MPEP § 803, citations omitted, emphasis added. Thus there are two requirements for restriction: independence or distinctness and a serious burden. Both are required. Section 803 explicitly states that "[i]f the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the

{JF3813.DOC;1}

Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions." Applicants respectfully submit that restriction is not proper in this case

because search and examination can be made of the entire application without serious burden.

Further, MPEP § 806.05(h) requires an example to be provided of the asserted

distinctiveness of the product and process of use. The example provided in the Office Action

states "the product recited can be used in a materially different process not involving payment

from the consignor." However, in the claims identified as the process of use, claims 21-23, only

one claim is directed toward any type of payment. Therefore, the example cited in the Office

Action is insufficient for the remaining claims identified as invention II, claims 21-23.

Applicants respectfully submit the restriction is not proper in this case. Search and

examination of these groups would not result in a serious burden on the Office, and the required

example provided is directed only to a single claim of the separate groups of claims. Applicants

respectfully request withdrawal of the restriction requirement.

If issues related to this application can be resolved by discussion, the Examiner is invited

to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 9/30/04

Warren M. Haines II (Reg. No. 40,632)

Customer No. 24024

{JF3813.DOC;1}

OCT 0 4 2004

IFW

PTO/SB/21 (05-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Petent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
to a collection of information unless it displays a wolld OMB account.

(ov)		Application Number				77, 998
TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date	De:	T.2.2003		
FORM		First Named Inventor		ies et al.		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial	filing)	Art Unit	1746	6 361	<u></u> _	
		Examiner Name		ry L. Gut		
		Attorney Docket Number				
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	L		2955	54/04002		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/dectaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Respo	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addre Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		App of App (Ap) Pro	Group peal Con ppeals con peal Con peal Noti prietary tus Lette	sure(s) (please
	TURE C	OF APPLICANT, ATTORNE	EY, OI	R AGENT	•	
Film						Customer Number
or Warren M Haines I	I					24024
Signature Kandida	9-4					
Date September 30, 2004						
		ATE OF TRANSMORION	MAAI	INC		
		CATE OF TRANSMISSION	-	-		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is b sufficient postage as first class mail in an en the date shown below.						
Typed or printed name Joyce Ford						
						

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.